

PRINCIPLES OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION REVIEWS

1. The procedure of article reviews in the Polonia Journal is consistent with the recommendations of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education.
2. Submission of the article to the Editorial Board by the author is understood as the explicit expression of the consent for the Board to commence the procedure of the review.
3. At least two, independent, external reviewers are assigned for each and every assessment of the article.
4. In the instance, the article is written in other language than the author's mother tongue, at least one reviewer is to be affiliated to a foreign, other than the author's nationality, institution.
5. The recommended model is the double-blind review process.
6. In other cases, the reviewer shall sign the declaration stating that there is no conflict of interests to be understood particularly, as the conflict between a reviewer and the author, such as:
 - a) direct personal relationship (family relationship, legal relationship, conflict),
 - b) subordination relationship,
 - c) direct research cooperation within two years prior the review.
7. Review takes the written form and is concluded with an explicit declaration as to whether or not the article is eligible for print. It is possible for the reviewer to indicate as follows:
 - a) eligible for print with no corrections or changes,
 - b) eligible for print after being corrected according to the editorial recommendations,
 - c) eligible for print after introducing merit changes,
 - d) eligible for print after complete alteration followed by the re-review,
 - e) not eligible for print.
8. Reviewer can comment on the articles also elsewhere than the form.
9. Reviewer's form is available on the journal's website.
10. Under no circumstance shall the reviewer's name be revealed to particular authors. The list of all the reviewers cooperating with the journal is published on the website, once a year.